Bernard Arendshorst April 8, 1983

Being somewhat of a bird watcher for a number of years, the subject of endangered species threw out a challange to do some exploring. Since I have problems indentifying bird species about us, at times, I wondered why I should make things more complicated by adding rare or endangered species. I realize too, that I am in the midst of some sharp bird-watchers, and also those who are strongly interested in meaningful conservation, and those eager to maintain a good balance in nature. One may not feel that they are involved, but if not involved, are affected by the damaging of our environment, or the image we are leaving for future generations.

As an aside, bird-watching is a game with many factors entering into oird identification, with the final reward of self satisfaction coming from making the correct identification. Some of the keys for correct indentification are silhouetts, flight patterns, perching habits, color, song, movements such as walking, hopping, alone, or in a flock, ground feeders—they all combine to give one correct identification. When out in the field, watchers have different reactions, oftentimes very amusing. If with brother Bill, and a flock of pigions pass over, and we use him casually "what was that?" In showing a little disgust, his reply is apt to be— "a lot of Grud." Or, if with John Donnelly on a cold day, and he has had some hot toddy, you will be amazed how his fision improves to 40/20:

The more I explored the field of endangered species, leaving out Homo Sapians, I realized I had to limit myself to a certain group of endangered species. Separate studies could, of course, be made on reptiles, mammals, insects, fish, viruses, amphibians, green plants, fungi, bacteria, or micro organisms. I will endeavor to held myself

primarily to birds. Anyone when exposed to only a small amount of reading on the subject of endangered species, is awakened, and quite likely would admit that they admire the beauty of nature, the songs of birds, and will seek to avoid the extermination of endangered species. To preserve endangered species, we must primarily avoid the deterioration of our environment.

How many species? Until the mid-60's, the number on earth were estimated to be around three million, with about half identified. The earth is considered to support about 8,600 birds, 4,100 known species of mammals, 6,300 reptiles, 3,000 amphibians, 2,300 fishes, roughly 800,000 insects, and over 300,000 green plants and fungi, plus several hundred thousand micro-organisms such as bacteria, and viruses

The extinction of species, or group of species, is nothing new. It has been going on as long as there has been life on this planet. Species come and go as they always have with the orderly evolution of life forms. Neither good nor bad, this action of species creation and species extermination is as much a part of life on our planet as is the rising or the setting of the sun, or the changing of the seasons. Such creation and exterimination of species is part of the routine of life by which nature rearranges its supply of building blocks; the genes and chromosomes which determine the nature of species and, to a lesser extent, the action of all species.

Whether evolution was the result of trial and error over endless years or the result of an omniscient decision by an ominipotent
Creatar is really not relevant to our discussion. Adcording to the
Bibble, there were only eight people on earth after the flood. They
were Noah and his three sons, and their four wives. None of the women
were named in the Biblical account -- women's lib had a long way to go
in those days! Now it mustable apparent that these eight humans were the

sole repository of the building blocks by which the process of evolution had to go forward. God said "Go forth and populate the Earth, and I will give you dominion over the birds of the air and fishes of the sea, and the animals of the land."

Did we obey the Divine command? There are now nearly five billion humans on this planet, so we aertainly have "gone forth and populated the earth." How about the second part of the Lord's mandate? How have se succeeded in our dominion over all other species? We have done very badly. We have failed to recognize that the right of it dominion does not carry with the right to exterminate.

We do know that man has been the only life form which has exersised complete dominion over all other life forms, and this is the first time that this terrible responsibility has ever fallen on one single species. Today, many holds the power of life or death over every species on this small planet—including his own. Many species have become extinct as a result of man's actions, and inactions. For instance there is the Indian tribal ritual of taking a yo ung eagle, allowing it rrow to a certain age, and then sacrificing it. If we look at it all from the viewpoint of the ten thousand other life forms, the dominion of many has been good.

Our record includes the extirpation of the passenger pigeon. The passage of this numerous species once blocked out the sun. Although there is a claim that the last passenger pigeon dies in a Cincinnati zoo in 1914, the passing of this species was unnoticed. But our record also includes the tremendous effort put forth to save the whooping crane from extinction. Between the loss of the pigeion and the beginning of the fight to save the whooper, an amazing reversal of public awareness has occured.

We can hold ourselves in high esteem because we harbor such Deelings, but there is another, much more compelling reason for our concern. Our own survival is surely at stake. Wildlife functions as one bistant Early Warning systme for manking. If the world is dangerous for the Kirtland's warbler, is it safe for humans? If the world is so full of noxious chemicals that the brown pelican is unable to manufact ure a shellfor its prelious egg, are we not affected by those chemicals?

Like the caged canary which the miner once carried into the underground shaft in a test for the presence of deadly methane, all life forms on this planet are carried will-nilly, and without choice, as captives on a finite globe, into a future which only mankind determines.

We will now look at a few of the more familiar endangered species, note what efforts have been made for their preservation, and the results. Perhaps by studying what has happened, we will be able to prevent further catastrophes. Perhaps by watching the fate of defenseless warblers which cannot escape their environment, we can learn to control our own actions. From the latest report that I could find there are approximately 60 endangered bird species in the U.S.

First, we shall turn to the Kirtland Warbler. Perhaps the rarest of all small birds, the Kirtland now numbers something less than 500 individuals in the entire world. It is yellow breasted, and the only tail-wagging warbler with a gray back. If you need more identification, you will have to go to the soource-- a six county section of northern lower MI. In the counties of Crawford, Oscoda, Iosco, Roscommon, Kalkaska, and Ogemaw, the 1979 census found 210 singing males. The Kirtland has NEVER been found nesting anywhere other than in the areas mentioned.

To further emphasize the "choosy" nature of the bird, the Kirtland nests only in stands of young jack pines, and in stands of at least 80 acres. They must be dense stands, six to thirteen years old and range from five to six feet tall. Trees are no longer attractive

to the bird when they reach the height of sixteen to twenty feet.

This preferred type of forest growth was plentiful in the old days when frequent wildfires destroyed stands of jack pines, and at the same time created ideal conditions for the regeneration of young jack pines. Enter Smoky the Bear, and mondern fire protection practices: Fire was looked upon, not as a creator of habitat for warblers, but as the greatest tragedy that could befall the profits of the timber manager.

The first nest of the Kirtland was discovered in notthern lower MI in 1903. Since that date, bird watchers have reported the tiny singer from 15 counties in MI and in widely scattered locations in Minn., Wixc., and Ontario. In 1953, a group of thirty bird lovers attempted a census—a count of all the breeding males singing during the early part of the nesting season. Logically enough, they assumed that the male had a female to sing to, or he wouldn't have anyting to sing about. They recorded 432 singing males. A similar census in 1961 gave a total of 502 singing males. However, tenyears later the count turned up only 201 males. Since the bird does not prefer other habitats, something had to be done.

Under the terms of the Federal Endangered Species Act of 1972 the Kirtland was listed as an endangered species, and a Recovery Tear was named to recommend courses of action. The annual census was continues, and widened in its scope to take in other possible areas to be sure that they were not missing populations of warblers which might have shifted their nesting location. None were found—other that in northern lower MI. The dense stands of jack-pines were posted against entry from May 1 through Aug. 15 of each year, which is their nesting period.

Because cow birds have a parastaic habit of depositing its eggs in the nests of the Kirtland, cowbird traps were set up adjacent

to the known nesting areas of the Kirtland. If the cowbird's eggs are deposited in the nest of the Kirtland, the larger progeny of the cowbird crowded out, and starved out the legitimate smaller Kirtland. The Recovery Team also dedicated 155,000 acres of Jack pines, owned by State and Federal governments (and withinthe nexting areas), and managed so that there will be an annual production of 35,000 acres of jack pine habitat.

The Recovery Tearm is also thinking about the 8-9 months of the year when the Kirtland is absent from MI. No one has exactly pinpointed the wintering sites of the Kirtland, although they are known to be in the Bahamas. Until the actual wintering area is determined, there is no way in which studies can determine whether or not the Kirtland needs protection on the wintering grounds.

The tiny Kittland and large whomping crane have much in compresently mon. Both are reduced in numbers so that a single natural catastrophe could wipe out a major part of the world's population of both species. The crane however, is long lived, while the life span of a warbler is measured more often in months than in years.

It would be difficult to demensione a monetary value of the crane, and almost impossible to show that the passing of the Kirtland would cause anyone a loss of money. Yet surely we humans are advanced enough to know that when we cause the loss of a species by our actions-no matter how well meaning our forest fire protection is—the last individual of that species takes a lot of things with him when e goes across the threshold of extinction. That last individual takes along a pricless set of genes which canot be duplicated, cannot be copied, and cannot be the basis for any further evolutionay developement.

Incontrast to the small warbler, we will now have a look at the larger bird, the Whooping Crane. The crane is more than six feet tall, with black wing tips, and a red head contrasting with spotlessly and a wing span of 7-8 feet.
white plumage. In 1941 there were only 21 whooping cranes. These 21
Were the survivors of a species which nested from Neb. north to Canada, from the Rockies across to Iowa and down into La. and Miss. Their bones are found in fossil deposits dating to eons before man appeared.
We find enough mentioned that they were used for food, and to know that they were definitely not rare in pioneer days. The report is that they were 1400 whoopers when Columbus landed, and if that minimum rigure is accepted, we must bear the responsibility for causing the decline to the present number of 21. How did it happen?

The whooper is a clasic example of the territorial animal in to its nesting habitat. It stakes out its own territory, announces, the rest of the whooper world with its sonozous trumpeting call, and then bitterly defends its territory against all intrusions. As mankind moved into the central plains, the whooper moved his nesting territitory northward, ever northward, constantly searching for a territory to call its own, secure from man's intrusions.

The plow and drainage shovel stole his nestinghabitat, and the crane was only seen during migrations when they frew down across Saskatchewan, the Dekotas, Nb., Okla., and Kansas on his way to an even shrinking wintering area on the Texas Gulf Coast. During the summer months the whoopers were a complete mystery. No one knew where they had gone, but in the fall they reappeared.

The Aransas Nat'l. Wildlife Refuge was established in 1937, and caused an awakeing of the ecological conscience of the people of N. America to to the plight of the whooper. Aransas protected the cran on their wintering grounds, and the Fish & Wildlife Service began to keep records of their number. Should add too, that the Audubon Society showed good results with its educational program. State and Federal enforcement personnel redoubled their efforts to stop exllegal shooting of the cranes, and succeeded.

Whoopers do not greed until they are 5 to 6 years of age. They lay only two eggs, but with an interval of 3 to 4 days before the 2nd is laid, the adults will take the first hatched offspring and go away, leaving the 2nd egg to cool and die, which of course accounts for but a 50% hatch. Then, the approach of winter starts the southbound migration before the whooper chicks have really had enough time to strenthen their flight muscles. So, they stop more often, which means more exposure to predators, and possible adverse weather conditions.

Whooping crane numbers grew slowly from 21 in 1941 to 48 in 1968, 59 in 1971, but then came a drop to 49 in 1972. However, by 1979 the number had grown to 119. Various measures have taken place to increase the number of whooper. Biologists have sneaked in and stole one two of the eggs the whoopers lay each year, and then hatched them by incubators. This has been repeated in Canada, and FWS personnel, beeginning in 1967, and repeated each year. To maximize the breeking potential of the captive birds, the FWS installed lights, so as to provide the hours of daylight. The cranes did their stately courtship dance, and although, the males did their sign of noticing girl branes, they did not mate. So, artificial insemination provided fertile eggs. The smaller sandhill cranes were also used to do the incubation for them. However, it is still too early to know whether or not the "imprinting" of the young whoopers by the sandhill cranes who reared them, is good or bad.

Today we have a situation where every law enforcement officer will drop everything and hurry to guard a migrating whooper reported to be in his territory. The Audubon program of volunteers monitor migrations and report sightings methodically to provide a factual basis for migration studies. We have seen a growth from 15 birds in the wilds in 1941 to 91 in 1980. Most of the losses now seem to be occurring in the juvenile population. However, we have seen far more interest in the

preservation of the whooper than can be explained by any intrinsic value the big bird may have.

Now, let us have a look at the perils which the Peregrine Falcon has experienced. The bird is crow sized, narrow tail, long ponted wings, conspicuous black mustaches. Spectacular on thw wing, and in former times were a favorite choice for the sport of falconry, plunging from tremendous heights at speeds estimated at 180 miles per hour to capture flying birds. It has a wing span of 3 to 4 feet. Never numerous, peregrines showed no measurable decline throughout the early part of mankind's occupation of the eastern states. In 1942, Dr. Joseph Hickey found that there were 275 known nesting sites in the eastern U.S. He knew there were 210 of these eyries which were active in 1942, and he felt that tahre were probably 50 nesting pairs in the area, inasmuch as they had not locatedall of the eyries in the study. At the same time, there were some known nesting eyries in Canada, and Greenland, which might contribute to the number of migrating birds each fall and spring. By 1964, there was aconsiderable decline, for the number of known eyries had dropped to 209, andhone of them wer in mesting areas. This drop could not be blamed entirely on great horn owls, egg collecting, or indiscriminate shooting. A Third major surve in 1975, showed that the peregrine was extinct as far as breeding pair inthe estern U.S. was concerned. To put the reason in the simplest terms, the peregrine had lost the ability to make egg shells within the female falcon's bordy. Reseachers found the reason, although they found it too late to help . ADT and its metabolite DDE were convicted of the crime. DDT and DDE killed the pests we wanted killed, but it seemed to last forever in the food chain, as the birds ate the insects and chemicals were kept in their tissues. The accumulation of DDE in the birds prevented an eggshell to be made thick enough to serve as the holder of the embryonic young peregrine.

The use of chlorinated hydrocarbons in the U.S. has been greatly restricted singe the loss of the peregrines, but it is still being and used in the Latin Maerican countries which are the southern termination of the peregrine's migration.

Fiervly independent and proud, the peregrine nests in the most inaccessible spots, and is a very unlikely candidate for a human-aided comeback. However, at Cornell University and at several other places, researchers found the ability to propagate the peregrine in captivity. Commell refined the technique to the point where they could rear as man as 200 youngperegrines per year.

Selecting the brood stock for the Cornell Peregrine Factory posed a problem for geneticists. After much soult searching, peregrines were chosen from the Artic tundra of N. America, from the Aleutians and Queen Charlotte Island on the western coast, and from Scotland and the Mediterranean regions in Europe. Given the ability to produce the hawk in captivity, there was still much to be learned about the process of gradually releasing captive young falcons—giving them semi-liberty while still feeding them as they became accustomed to life in the wild. "Hacking" has the added advantage of starting the "imprinting" process, for as the bird becomes accustomed to the area where it is hacked, it is moreapt to return to this site. This resembles the natural way in which an adult returns to its nesting site.

Cornell began the "hacking" method of releasing birds to the wild in 1974, and has continued the program with marked success. Of the first 152 young falcons released, 112 survied to the stage of independent existence. In the spring of 1979, the peregrine paired, nested, and laid eggs at eyries in the U.S. for the first time in twenty years.

Reports have come showing nesting sites on tall buildings, in Baltimore, and Washington. Interior Secy. Adrus approved a prject to release peregrines in downton Washington, D.C., using the roof of the

Interior Bldg. as a release site. This environment is familiar to the birds. Pigeons furnish the food supply, and the skyscraper also closely approximates the mountain ledges which are the bird's favorite wild nesting site. Also, once the bird has caught its prey, updrafts again at the side of the building enable the bird to said upwards without even flapping its wings.

Eggshell thinning is still a danger to Pacific coast peregrines, moreso than to the eastern birds. However, programs developed in the much more ambitious eastern program will be used in the West as additional "pen-reared" birds become more available.

The use of chlorinated hydrocarbons just about did away with the peregrines, but the trend was reversed in time. Mankind showed a strong and determined interest in caring for this bird so that nowwe have aturn about in this hawk's populationg.

We shall now make an examination of the perils of the Bald Eagle.

This is bird is dark brown over most of its body, and the stikely beautiful white head appears after three years, with a seven foot wing the bird span, is a majestic flyer.

The bird is a carrion eater, and feasts on dead animals, and fish. Once plentiful over all of the N. American continent, excluding Mexico, the bald eagle has fallen on hard times, and was placed on the endangered species list in 1978. There are lots of bald eagles in Alaska-- probably more than in all of the other states combined.

Four reasons usally are given for the decline of the bald eagle, and they are: loss of habitat, loss of nesting trees, illegal shooting, and DDT and its metabolite DDE. Also, the eagle is quite intolerant of human intrusion in the area near the nest stie. If observers come to the nest stie several times, even within binocular dis-

-16-

tance from the fiest, the eagles may desert the nest.

Today's monoculture in forests has caused a gradual loss of big nest trees through attrition. As the big hardwoods die and fall, they are replaced with conifers for lumber for two with more hardwoods.

Illegal shooting was once a serious cause of mortality among eagles. The passage of the Bald Eagle Protection Act slowed the illegal shooting to the point of almost being negligible.

being at the unportected top of a food chain made up of efficient bigaccumulators. Algae at the bottom, were consumed by fish, and the fish eating eagle got the full impact of the stored DDT. This in turn prevented the eagle's ability to manufacture a strong egg shell. With the outlawing of DDT, we have seen signs that the trend is being reversed.

In 1980, the eagle survey conduct4d by the Nat'l. Wildlife Federation turned up a total of 13,127 neagles in the 45 of the lower 48 states. This was 35% higher than the total recorded a year earlier. So, the lingering effects of DDT are probably coming to an end at last. The fact remains, however, that nesting habitat is being seadily destroyed throughout the range of the eagles in the lower 48 states. Bright spots are the national wildlife refuges which are being managed to provide nesting sites, near water. Timber companies in the Pacific Northwest are also showing greater concern regarding wildlife on their lands and connecting water-ways.

We really must save this bird. What a national tragedy if we failed to save our own national emblem:

There are probably few here who have ever seen the Condor, but it is an endangered species. A little background might provide further interest. When seen, one might spot the bird hopping ackwardly along a high ledge, a huge black bird, rendering the bald eagle puny by comparison with a naked neck, and a reddish-orange head. They are actually hideous.

Its walk is the pigeon-toed waddle of a fat goode. Its yellowish legs and fest are those of an outside barnyard chicken, even to the almost useless claws. Its naked head with the blunt, over-shot beak is often pulled down into a ruff of dark feathers, making it seem to have no neck at all. And its reddish eyes, which have the telescopic sight of all hawks and vultures, look almmst withdrawn. In the air however, the condor is a totally different creature, one of the most skilled soaring birds that ever lived. Its long broad wings have a spread of ninge to ten feet. On them, the bird can soar for hours at a time, with no visible motion except in the finger like feathers at the tip. Soaring, not diving, it has been timed at more than 50 miles per hour. At rest, it stands folur feet tall, with wings raised, it become sixfooter. A fully grown adult weighs 20 to 30 pounds, depending on how recently it has eaten. Not withstanding its appearance, the condor does not kill, even for food. It is a scavenger, and at times may eat almost half its own weight, at one sitting. Its natural life is 30 to 45 years.

The Calif. condors were well established in N. Amercia when the first man arrived over the land bridge from Siberia to Alaska, and they were common all the way down to the Pacific Coast into Mexico, and across the whole southern half of the present U.S. Father Asension, a Carmelite friar, wrote the first report after seeing a flock of huge birds feeing on a dead whale in Montery May in 1602. Lewis & Clark's expedition saw condors which they called "remarably large buzzards."

John. J. Audubon never saw a condor, but his did in Calif. in 1849. By then, the condor population was waning. The early Spanish settlers in Calif. did little to disturb the birds, but with the Mexican War, and the discovery of nuggets at Sutter's millrace, the gold rush was on.

The gold miners began to shoot condors— such big birds had be be danger ous! Then someone found that the quills made good containers for gold

- making Mann.

dust. Conservation measures then began to develope as they became concerned about the disappearance of the bird.

Condors tend to be social animals in feeding, and the young-one egg is produced every two years, fly with their parents for several
years after being fledged.

Currently, in spite of cutting back on many Federal projects, Secy watt the number of recovery plans approved or under review, has nearly doubled. There are no plans to disband recovery teams for the Calif. condor, peregrine falcone, or whooping crase.

As a parting remark about the condor, a recent newspaper clipping stated a condor egg is being incubated at the San Diego zoo, The three week old bluish egg-- six times the size of a chicken egg-- was taken from the rugged Ventura County condor sanctuary, put in a specially built "egg suitcase" and flown to the zoo. Scientists said this is the first attempt in history to artificially incubate a condor egg, and rear the chick from birth.

It is quite apparent that much has been done in reducing the dangers of endangered species. To contine these efforts, and maintain the momentum, we have several organizations, and programs that should be mentioned. Briefly, they are approximately 3,000 Wildlife Reguges; the Nat'l. Park System; there are literally hundreds of endangered species organizations; and finally the role of the zoos.

This paper is biased in that we have taken the position of protecting the endangered species. But, to be sure, there are those who feel we cannot play \$\foatgot\$ and in the determination of which species to protect. Should we kill foxes which threaten the nesting success of the endangered Aleutian goose? Should we kill raccons which threaten the nexting success of the whooping crane, or the trumpeter swan? Should we kill the coyotes which prey on the Attwater's prairie chicken, which is an endangered species? The larger predator in this same area is the endangered

Texas red wolf. Now the endangered red wolf loves to eat the endangered prairie chieken. Now we are on the sharp horns of a dilemma. Do we have the right to decide which species is to be allowed to continue, species and which species is to be sacrificed so that another species can live?

The decision can be avoided by proper management. In the case of the red wolf, they were trapped, and relocated after captive propagation, into areas far from the Prairie chicken. Too often, we fail to make any deaision, and thus allow the species to perish by default. "To avoid this in the future, we must learn about every living species, and we must realize that a tug upon any part of the web of life will result in a weid-spread tremor. Knowledge is the key to the lock that protects endangered species.